

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/986,354	11/08/2001	Matthew George Majikes	52493.000187	5302
7590 12/15/2006			EXAMINER	
Ozzie A. Farres, Esq.			RINES, ROBERT D	
Hunton & Willia	ams			
Suite 1200	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1900 K Street	•		3626	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Washington, Do	C 20006	DATE MAILED: 12/15/200		5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/986,354	MAJIKES ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Robert D. Rines	3626		

	Robert D. Rines	3626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress			
HE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
. Maintenance The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance	the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendme tice of Appeal (with appeal fo	ice of Appeal. To avoid aba ent, affidavit, or other evide ee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date se					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WH					
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office laternay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). IOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for rep than three months after the ma	mount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since			
<u> </u>	out prior to the date of filing a	a brief, will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materi	ally reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	-	ally rejected claims.				
		on-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
_ ,, ,,						
non-allowable claim(s).			-			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		will be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		•				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filir d sufficient reasons why the	ng a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attac	hed.			
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the applica	ation in condition for allowa	nce because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		•			
3. Other:	1 101					
	Josep flue	-				
JOSEPH THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER						
HE REPLY FILED 13 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a)	APPLICATION IN CONDITE the same day as filing a Not ving replies: (1) an amendmentice of Appeal (with appeal fee with 37 CFR 1.114. The reserve of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date seater than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE D6.07(f). On which the petition under 37 Cersion and the corresponding a shortened statutory period for repethan three months after the main diance with 37 CFR 41.37 municion thereof (37 CFR 41.37 municion	in the correspondence addition for ALLOWANCE. The property of the final rejection, where mailing date of the final rejection, where mailing date of the final rejection. The FIRST REPLY WAS FOR The appropriate of the final rejection, and the appropriate of the final rejection, and the final rejection, and the final of the final rejection, and the final rejection and the final rejection, and the final rejection and the final	andonment of nce, which is R 41.31; or (3) a of the following hichever is later. It ition. FILED WITHIN atte extension feer riate action; or (2) a reven if timely filled the appeal. Since the issues for riate and riate			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application No. 09/986,354

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims specifying a product or service selection module and further specifying that personalization of content includes identifying at least one insurance or financial services related product or service require further search and consideration of the prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks filed 11/13/06, Applicant argues/remarks that the amendments to the claims more clearly distinguish over the Cullen, Herz, Hsu, and Quido references. In addition, Applicant substantially rehashes the remarks presented in the previous response. Since the amendment After Final has not been entered, the remarks with respect to these changes are currently moot. In response to the remaining remarks, the Examiner incorporates the response to the remarks presented in the previous Office Action, mailed 10 August 2006.